



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		- آ	E	EXAMINER
		Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		L		20
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory	Action	

_	No.	Applicant(s)
١	Application No.	XU ET AL.
١	09/026,459	
1		Art Unit
	Examiner	1632
	Ram R Shukla	with a correspondence address

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper replication

	The MAILING DATE OF BIRD	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWATED
۲h	HE REPLY FILED 22 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114 may only the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with application for allowance) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	eal fee); or (3) a timely filed (1049999)
	PERIOD FOR REPLI (One	ofinal rejection.
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Act no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SI ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH THE PROOF OF THE PROOF O	the extension
	706.07(1).	nich the petition under of the fee. The appropriate extension of
f	no event, however, which is not event, however, was the properties of the control of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which is the control of time the period of extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter fee under 37 C	in three months after the manning by
1	timely filed, may reduce any earned personal control of the second	Brief must be filed within the period set for the
	(2) as set forth in (b) above. If Checked. 7(1) the chimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent filed, may reduce any earned patent filed filed, may reduce any earned patent filed	d)), to avoid dismissal of the appear.
1	1 3/1.ER 1 132(d) 0)	
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension  2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consi	
	<ul> <li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that will they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li> </ul>	at by materially reducing or simplifying the
		form for appeal by materially 1999
	(c) they are not deemed to place the apparatus issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	(d) they present additional claims without	a tiquation Sheet
	NOTE: the overcome the following rejection(s):	See Continuation ones.
	NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alleast the non-allowable claim(s).	OWARIO
	Calibering and	reidelation has poor.
	<ul> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)</li></ul>	tinuation Sheet.
	application in some same same same same same same same sa	it is not directed 30222
	6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a	) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
	raised by the Examiner in the interest of amendment(s) a 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a explanation of how the new or amended claims would be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be explanation.	be rejected is provided below or appear
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: None.	
	Claim(s) objected to: None.	
	1	
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-34, 30, 37, and 1-2-2.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: is a)[  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)[	or b\□ disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) William awit non-	] approved of b)[] disapp
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 13 d/c g	s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(\$)
	9 Note the attached information Blooms	T NOTIVEN
	10. Other:	DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 20 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office **Advisory Action** PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): new matter rejection of claims 1-34 and 36-37; 102 rejection of claims 1,2,8, 20,23, 24, 27-30, 34, 36, and 37. Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments regarding the enablement rejection of claims 31-33 have been considered. Applicants have argued that Examiner's comments are based on "unsupported skepticism". In response it is noted that Examiner's comments are based on sound scientific reasoning and on the basis of skepticism raised and reviewed by experts in the field of gene therapy. Additionally, it is noted that Applicants have reiterated same arguments as presented in response to the previous office action and have not presented any new arguments.

Regarding the 103 rejections, Applicants are directed to the motivation to make deletion mutants of RB discussed in the last paragraph on page 5 of the previous office action. It is further noted that the Applicants have not presented evidence or discussed why an artisan would not have had reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed DNA and why the encoded proteins would not have had the desired activity, as discussed in the previous office action.

> DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER